Antimicrobial efficacy of mouthwashes containing zinc-substituted nanohydroxyapatite and zinc L-pyrrolidone carboxylate on suture threads after surgical procedures

Abstract

Objective

Suture threads used after oral surgery may be colonized by pathogenic microorganisms which could infect the surrounding tissue and impair the wound-healing process. Therefore, the postoperative use of antimicrobial mouthwashes is highly recommended. In this study, a mouthwash containing zinc-substituted nanohydroxyapatite (Zn-nHAp) and zinc L-pyrrolidone carboxylate (Zn-PCA) was compared with a product containing chlorhexidine for its efficacy in reducing microbial adherence to suture threads.

Materials and methods

Twenty-six patients subjected to minimal surgical interventions were randomized into a chlorhexidine group (C-group; n = 13) and a hydroxyapatite group (H-group; n = 13). All of the subjects followed a postoperative home treatment with a mouthwash containing chlorhexidine and a mouthwash containing Zn-nHAp/Zn-PCA, respectively. After their removal, suture threads were cut into segments and bacteria present on them were allowed to grow in different media and under different conditions. Colony-forming units were then enumerated.

Results

Quantification of mesophilic bacteria, Lactobacillus spp and total bacterial load and the search for specific anaerobic strains resulted in no statistically significant differences between the C-group and H-group. Hydroxyapatite, zinc ions and Zn-PCA are all endowed with antimicrobial properties. All of them presumably contribute to the overall high antimicrobial efficacy shown by oral care products containing a combination of these components.

Conclusion

The mouthwash containing Zn-nHAp and Zn-PCA was found to possess at least the same antibacterial efficacy as the mouthwash containing chlorhexidine, but without exerting the typical side effects of chlorhexidine itself.
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Introduction

Oral surgery interventions usually require sutures in order to facilitate wound healing and to prevent dehiscence. However, suture threads are inevitably colonized by microorganisms that could infect surrounding tissue and impair the wound-healing process.4 Many different kinds of surgical sutures (natural and synthetic) with different properties have been proposed over the years to overcome plaque stratification over threads. It is already known that bacterial adherence to suture threads may delay and affect the wound-healing process. For this purpose, several antimicrobial agents have been tested to be incorporated in or to coat suture threads.5 Mouthwashes are highly recommended for home care maintenance as an adjunctive measure to reduce bacterial colonization of sutures and postoperative inflammation.6 Nowadays, chlorhexidine is the gold standard in terms of antimicrobial activity because of its wide spectrum of actions. Chlorhexidine has been commercially proposed in many different formulas.7 Even though its several advantages have been profusely demonstrated, some adverse effects, such as tooth staining,8, 9 tongue discoloration, and desquamation and soreness of the oral mucosa, should be considered.3, 10–12 Because of its nature, clinicians must look at chlorhexidine as an antimicrobial agent to which bacteria could develop resistance, especially in the case of long-term use.13 Given those issues, researchers have been seeking alternatives to chlorhexidine in terms of antiseptic designs. In the present study, the authors tested a mouthwash containing zinc-substituted nanohydroxyapatite (Zn-nHAp) and zinc L-pyrrolidone carboxylate (Zn-PCA) in terms of microbial adherence to suture threads compared with a mouthwash containing chlorhexidine.

Materials and methods

Study protocol

The present clinical case–control study was a multicenter study including the Tuscan Stomatology Institute, Versilia General Hospital, Lido di Camaiore, Italy, and the University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. All of the participants were screened according to the following inclusion and exclusion criteria.

Inclusion criteria:
– aged 30 years and older;
– received minimal surgical interventions (extraction, implant surgery, periodontal surgery) with sutures; and
– compliance with the study protocol and willingness to adhere to the hygiene instructions.

Exclusion criteria:
– pregnancy;
– antibiotics, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, or steroids in the previous 3 months;
– severe systemic disease that could compromise the conduct of the study;
– untreated diabetes;
– chronic or aggressive periodontitis or other severe oral pathologies;
– smoking more than 5 cigarettes a day; and
– alcohol or other drug abuse.

At the end of the screening procedure, 26 patients were enrolled in the study and randomized into 2 maintenance groups according to the mouthwash used: (a) a control group (the chlorhexidine group, or C-group, n = 13), in which the patients followed a postoperative home treatment for at least 7 days with a mouthwash containing 0.2% chlorhexidine (Dentosan, Johnson & Johnson, Rome, Italy); and a treatment group (the hydroxyapatite group, or H-group, n = 13), in which the patients followed a postoperative home treatment for at least 7 days with a mouthwash containing Zn-nHAp/Zn-PCA (Biorepair, Coswell, Funo, Italy), with a 2.0% w/v concentration of Zn-nHAp and an overall concentration of Zn of 11.0% w/v. Nonabsorbable silk sutures (Sweden & Martina, Due Carrare, Italy) were removed after 10 days from the surgical sites of all of the patients. All sutures were placed and removed by the same skilled operator to eliminate interexaminer variability. The collected samples were immediately transported to the laboratory and stored at -20 °C until microbiological analysis.

All subject randomization was performed by means of a computer program generating random numbers. Oral and written information was given to each enrolled subject. All of the patients enrolled were informed about the study protocol and were asked to sign an informed written consent for participation. This study was carried out according to the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, as revised in 2013, for medical research involving human subjects. Figure 1 provides a flowchart summarizing the study protocol.
Mouthwashes and bacteria on suture threads

Culture media and conditions

Bacteria were cultured in Petri dishes containing tryptone soy agar (TSA) as growth medium at an incubation temperature of 36 ± 1 °C. In this way, it was possible to obtain the growth of mesophilic bacteria. De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe (MRS) agar at 36 ± 1 °C allowed the growth of Lactobacillus spp. Bacteroides bile esculin (BBE) agar and brucella blood agar (BRU) with vitamin K and hemin, incubated at 36 ± 1 °C under anaerobic conditions allowed the qualitative analysis of specific anaerobic strains.

Test procedure and bacterial scoring

Microbial load was assessed after suture removal using in vitro bacterial cultures.

Suture thread samples were stored at -20 °C until microbiological analysis. Before testing, samples were thawed at room temperature. Each sample was cut into 3 segments of similar length, then each thread sample was subjected to analysis as follows. The control sample was represented by segments of a sterile, unused suture thread.

Assessment of the absence/presence of bacterial load: Two segments of the thread were scraped on 2 culture plates containing agar-based broth media (TSA and MRS) and incubated for 48 h at 36 ± 1 °C in order to determine whether microbial load was present on the thread surface. The plates were visually inspected and bacterial load accordingly classified as absent or present.

Enumeration of bacterial colonies: One segment was placed in a tube containing a diluent solution (buffered peptone water; BPW) for 1 h, then, further serial decimal dilutions were carried out.

Fig. 1
Flowchart illustrating the study protocol.
Each dilution, the first included, was seeded in plates with the same agar-based broth media and incubated for 48 h at 36 ± 1 °C in order to quantify the microbial load. Bacterial colonies were then counted and expressed as colony-forming units per mL (cfu/mL). Concerning the enumeration of bacterial colonies, a bacterial score (BS) was obtained by classifying the enumerated bacterial colonies according to the following scheme:

- Class 0: BS ≤ 10³ cfu/mL
- Class 1: ≤ 10³ cfu/mL BS ≤ 10⁵ cfu/mL
- Class 2: ≤ 10⁵ cfu/mL BS ≤ 10⁷ cfu/mL
- Class 3: BS > 10⁷ cfu/mL

Search for specific anaerobic bacteria: Each sample was seeded on 2 different plates (BBE and brucella blood agar) to determine the eventual presence of anaerobic microbial strains. Seeded plates were placed in the oxygen-free vessels and incubated at 36 ± 1 °C for 7 days. After this period, the plates were analyzed to evaluate the presence of bacterial colonies. In positive cases, individual colonies were reseeded to achieve a pure bacterial culture suitable for biochemical recognition. For evaluation of the growth of specific anaerobic bacteria, the classes of “growth” and “no growth” were established, depending on whether bacterial growth was observed.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (SD) of BS values, where available. The Mann–Whitney U test for independent samples was used to evaluate the difference between the C-group and H-group. In the case of categorical data, that is growth/no growth classes and absence/presence classes, data were organized in contingency tables and analyzed by the Fisher exact test. A value of $P \leq 0.05$ was taken as statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using OpenStat version 26.03.2012 (www.statprograms4u.com).

Results

The results of the microbiological analysis are summarized in Tables 1–4. Table 1 reports mean ± SD values for BSs calculated from the cfus developed under different conditions. The mean ± SD score of bacteria seeding calculated on TSA was 2.000 ± 1.080 and 1.462 ± 1.198 in the H-group and C-group, respectively. The mean ± SD score of bacteria seeding calculated on MRS was 1.462 ± 1.050 and 1.077 ± 1.320 in the H-group and C-group, respectively. In all cases, the $P$ values were not statistically significant, meaning that no statistically significant differences were observed between the H-group and the C-group. In more detail, the total bacterial load developed after seeding BPW solutions that had been in contact with suture thread segments on TSA plates and the *Lactobacillus* spp. developed after seeding BPW solutions that had been in contact with suture thread segments were comparable between groups. Table 2 is the contingency table summarizing data resulting from inoculation on BRU plates. In this case too, no statistically significant differences between the H-group and C-group were observed ($P = 0.411$). Tables 3 and 4 are contingency tables summarizing data resulting from scraping suture thread segments on TSA plates and MRS plates, respectively. Again, no statistically significant differences between the H-group and C-group were observed. Inoculation in BBE under anaerobic conditions produced negative results (no growth) for all samples and the control. Figure 2 illustrates Petri dishes after the optional development of bacterial colonies.

Discussion

The present study aimed to evaluate the bacterial load on suture threads after their removal in 2 different situations. Patients were assigned either to a chlorhexidine mouthwash or to a zinc one. Among the suture thread samples taken from the 13 patients who used the mouthwash containing chlorhexidine (C-group), 7 showed a high bacterial load (BS = 2 or 3) with the presence of *Lactobacillus* spp, in 5 cases; 2 of them presented a poor bacterial load (BS = 1); and 4 of them did not present any appreciable microbial colonization (BS = 0). The search for specific anaerobic strains, when positive (3 samples), resulted in establishing the presence of *Fusobacterium varium* (1 occurrence), *Actinomyces meyeri* (1 occurrence) and *Streptococcus intermedius* (1 occurrence). Of the 13 suture thread samples taken from patients who used a mouthwash containing Zn-nHAp/Zn-PCA, 10 showed a high bacterial load (BS = 2 or 3) associated with the presence of *Lactobacillus* spp., 1 had a moderate bacterial load (BS = 1), and 2 did not show any appreciable microbial colonization. The search for specific anaerobic strains, when pos-
itive (6 samples), resulted in establishing the presence of *Actinomyces meyeri* (3 occurrences), *Bifidobacterium* spp. (1 occurrence), *Staphylococcus saccharolyticus* (1 occurrence) and *Actinomyces viscosus* (1 occurrence).

In vitro studies have already demonstrated that hydroxyapatite shows antimicrobial activity; for example, Tin-Oo et al. reported the efficacy of HAp against *Streptococcus mutans*.19 The antimicrobial activity of nHAp was also investigated when intercalated by several metal ions, including zinc ions,15, 16 and shown to be higher than that of nHAp alone. Furthermore, zinc ions are known to possess antimicrobial properties, and the activity of zinc in the oral cavity has been well documented.17, 18 PCA possesses a certain antimicrobial activity as well, as demonstrated by Yang et al., who tested it in in vivo studies against several microorganisms.19 Moreover, PCA increases the solubility rate of zinc ions in the saliva such that its antibacterial action is readily exerted.

Oral care products based on the association of Zn-nHAp and Zn-PCA could create a combination of 3 active ingredients that are very well tolerated and maintain the same efficacy of chlorhexidine against bacteria. Indeed, the present study demonstrated that mouthwashes containing Zn-nHAp and Zn-PCA represent a valid alternative to mouthwashes containing chlorhexidine. They do not exert the typical side effects of chlorhexidine, such as alteration of taste perception, tooth staining, tongue discoloration, and desquamation and soreness of the oral mucosa, while maintaining at least its same antibacterial efficacy.20 Also, Marchetti et al., in a clinical comparative trial, found a similar effect of inhibiting plaque regrowth between zinc and chlorhexidine mouthwashes.21 Further studies are needed to better understand whether this new antimicrobial mouthwash could substitute chlorhexidine as the gold standard in promoting wound healing after surgery owing to its antimicrobial effect and no side effects.

**Conclusion**

Within the limitations of this study, the mouthwash containing Zn-nHAp and Zn-PCA was found to have similar antibacterial efficacy to the mouthwash containing chlorhexidine, but without exerting the typical side effects of chlorhexidine itself. These results should be interpreted with caution owing to the small sample of the study and the few kinds of bacteria analyzed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean ± SD values for BSs calculated from the cfus developed under different conditions. From left to right, the columns report data concerning the quantification of total bacterial load and <em>Lactobacillus</em> spp., respectively, after seeding the BPW solutions that had been in contact with suture thread segments. Growth media were TSA and MRS agar, respectively.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydroxyapatite Chlorhexidine</th>
<th>Seeding on TSA</th>
<th>Seeding on MRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.000 ± 1.080</td>
<td>1.462 ± 1.050</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.62 ± 1.198</td>
<td>1.077 ± 1.320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P = 0.2668$</td>
<td>$P = 0.4084$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contingency table summarizing data resulting from inoculation on BRU.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Hydroxyapatite Chlorhexidine | Inoculation on BRU |
| --- | --- | --- |
| n | n | $P$ |
| Growth | 6 | 3 | 0.411 |
| No growth | 7 | 10 |  |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contingency table summarizing data resulting from scraping on TSA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydroxyapatite Chlorhexidine</th>
<th>Scraping on TSA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contingency table summarizing data resulting from scraping on MRS.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hydroxyapatite Chlorhexidine</th>
<th>Scraping on MRS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absence</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$ The total number of suture threads in the H-group was 12 instead of 13 because 1 thread was too short to be cut into segments for scraping on TSA plates.
Petri dishes after the optional development of bacterial colonies. A and B come from patients who used a mouthwash containing chlorhexidine for 7 days after surgery. C, D and E come from patients who used a mouthwash containing Zn-nHAp and Zn-PCA for 7 days after surgery. F represents control plates. Within each photograph, the upper left and right plates correspond to suture thread segments scraped on TSA for the growth of mesophilic bacteria and MRS agar for the growth of Lactobacillus spp., respectively. The bottom left and right plates correspond to the quantitative analysis of mesophilic bacteria on TSA and Lactobacillus spp. on MRS agar, respectively.
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